

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.10981/2014
[@SLP(C) No. 10934/2014]

JAIPUR VIDYUT VITRAN NIGAM LTD. .. A
PPELLANT

VERSUS

BRIJ MOHAN @ PAPPU .. R
ESPONDENT

O R D E R

Leave granted.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Despite service of notice, none has appeared on behalf of the respondent.

or the It is submitted by learned counsel f
by a petitioner that the controversy is covered
two-Judge Bench decision of this Court in Uttar Pradesh
s Ahmad Power Corporation Limited and Others v. Ani
ion to [(2013) 8 SCC 491]. He has drawn our attent
paragraph 60.2, which reads as follows:

"60.2. A "complaint" against the assessment made by the assessing officer under Section 126 or against the offences committed under Sections 135 to 140 of the Electricity Act, 2003 is not maintainable before a Consumer Forum."

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

Sanjay Kumar
Date: 2014.12.13
13:06:42 IST
Reason:

Regard being had to the controversy which has been

set out in the impugned order, we are of the convinced opinion that in view of the recent pronouncement, the

impugned order has to be set aside.

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)