

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 8191-8193/2011

M/S HEMADRI ENTERPRISES Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P.& ORS. Respondent(s)

WITH

- C.A. No. 8194/2011
- C.A. No. 8195/2011
- C.A. No. 8196-8199/2011
- C.A. No. 8203/2011
- C.A. No. 8204-8206/2011
- C.A. No. 6614/2012
- C.A. No. 6615/2012
- C.A. No. 6616/2012
- (With Office Report)

Date : 12/05/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

- Mr. C. Balakrishna,Adv.
- Mr. V. N. Raghupathy,Adv.
- Ms. T. Anamika,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

- Ms. C. K. Sucharita,Adv.
- Mr. D. Mahesh Babu,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Civil Appeal Nos.6614, 6615 & 6612/2012

Signature Not Verified

The office report is that the Ld.counsel for the parties have

Digitally signed by
Sushma Kumari Bajaj

already filed the statement of case in all these matters numbered

Date: 2015.05.14

16:09:14 IST

Reason:

above. Viewed thus the same shall be processed for listing before
the Hon'ble Court on its own turn.

.....2

ITEM NO.100

-2-

Civil Appeal Nos.8195/2011

The office report is that the Ld.counsel for the appellant
has failed to file the statement of case, although he has been
notified to do so by letter dated 16.01.2013 of this Registry. The
office report further is that the Ld.counsel for the respondent has
already filed the statement of case. Order XIX Rule 32 of the
Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does

not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

Civil Appeal Nos.8194, 8196-8199/2011

The office report is that Ld.counsel for the appellant and the Ld.counsel for the respondents have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by letter dated 16.01.2013 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

.....3

ITEM NO.100

-3-

Civil Appeal Nos.8191-8193, 8203, 8204-8206/2011

The office report indicates that the Ld.counsel for the appellant has already filed the statement of case. The office report further is that the Ld.counsel for the respondents has failed to file the statement of case although he has been notified to do so by letter dated 16.01.2013 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

SB