

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).3433/2008

(From the judgement and order dated 02/11/2007 in WP No.4579/2007 of The HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI)

KALIRAM KARUNAMAY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF JHARKHAND & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to place addl. documents on record and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

WITH

W.P(C) NO. 215 of 2012  
(with appln.(s) for stay)

SLP(C) NOS. 8876-8877 of 2008  
(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and c/delay in filing the written submission and with prayer for interim relief and office report)  
(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

SLP(C) NO. 8893 of 2008  
(With office report)  
(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

SLP(C) NO. 8080 of 2008  
(With appln.(s) for directions and directions and exemption from filing O.T. and with prayer for interim relief and office report)  
(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

[FOR DIRECTIONS]

Date: 11/04/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR

For Petitioner(s)

SLP 3433/2008 Mr. M.J. Paul, Adv.

WP 215/2012 &  
SLP 8876-8877/2008 Mr. A.P. Mohanty, Adv.

SLP 8893/2008 Mr. Shubhahis R. Soren, Adv.  
Mr. R.C. Kohli, Adv.

SLP 8080/2008 Mr. Vinay Garg, Adv.  
Mr. Tanmay Agarwal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Sunil Kumar, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Krishnanand Pandeya, Adv.  
Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv.  
Mr. Amrender Kr. Choubey, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Kumar Anurag Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Praiyanka, Adv.  
Mr. Anil K. Jha, Adv.

Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.  
Mr. D.L. Chidananda, Adv.  
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

Mr. Jitendra Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Kirti Renu Mishra, Adv.

Dr. Maya Rao, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

S.L.P. (Civil) No. 3433 of 2008; S.L.P. (Civil) No. 8080 of 2008,  
S.L.P. (Civil) Nos. 8876-8877 of 2008 and S.L.P.(Civil) No. 8893 of  
2008

Learned senior counsel and learned counsel for the parties  
are ad idem that in view of enactment of the Jharkhand Municipal Act,  
2011, the controversy in these special leave petitions does not  
survive for determination. Special leave petitions are dismissed as  
infructuous.

If anybody seeks to challenge the constitutional validity  
of the above Act, he is free to do so in accordance with law.

Writ Petition (Civil) No. 215 of 2012

Arguments have already been concluded.

Judgment reserved.

|(Rajesh Dham)  
|Court Master

| |(Renu Diwan)  
| |Court Master

|