

ITEM NO.39

COURT NO.10

SECTION XIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).4071/2007

(From the judgement and order dated 15/09/2005 in FA No.462/1997 of The HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDERABAD)

JAMBULLA RAVINDRA REDDY @ MADAN.M.REDDY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

JAMBULA RAGHUNANDAN REDDY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and stay and disposal of SLP in terms of compromise)

Date: 16/03/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sridhar Potaraju, Adv.
Mr. Gaichangpou Gangmei, Adv.
Ms. D. Siri Rao, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Prabhakar Parnam, Adv.
Mr. M. Ram Babu, Adv.
Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.

Mrs.D. Bharathi Reddy ,Adv
Mr. D. Rama Krishna Reddy, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Parties have filed Memo of Understanding entered into between them on 21st of January, 2012, which bears signatures and thumb impressions of all the parties and has not been disputed before us. Admittedly, this compromise is between the contesting respondents and not with Respondent Nos.7 and 8, who are said to be proforma respondents. By virtue of

2

said Memo of Understanding all the disputes existing between the parties have been settled.

The compromise arrived at between the parties appear to be legal and they have also accepted the terms and conditions expressed therein.

There is no doubt about correctness thereof.

Thus,

this special leave petition stands disposed of on the ground that it has been settled between the parties.

The Settlement arrived at between the parties would form part of this order.

Parties to bear their respective cost.

(A.D. Sharma)
Court Master

(S.S.R. Krishna)
Court Master