|ITEM NO.41 COURT NO.5 SECTION XVIA

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 99 OF 2007

KAVITA @ DIPALI Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SONU @ NIRAJ Respondent(s)
(With appln(s) for stay and office report))

Date: 08/08/2008 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CYRIAC JOSEPH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Pranab Kumar Mullick,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

The transfer petition is allowed in terms of the signed order.

[Meenu Sethi] [Pushap Lata Bhardwaj]
A.R.-cum -P.S. Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITON(C) No. 99/2007

Kavita @ Dipali ...petitioner

Versus

Sonu @ Neeraj ...Respondent

O R D E R

Despite service of notice, none appears on behalf of the respondent to oppose the transfer petition.

Mr. P.K. Mullick, learned counsel for the petitioner, in his capacity as an officer of the Court has submitted a report dated 6.8.2008 in terms of this Court's order dated 7.7. 2008; on a perusal whereof it appears that there is no chance of reconciliation between the petitioner and the respondent.

In this view of the matter, we direct that that HMA Case No.124 of 2005 (Shri Sonu @ Neeraj Versus Smt.Kavita @ Dipali) be transferred from the Court of the District Judge, Sonepat, Haryana to the Court of the District Judge, Delhi, who shall either dispose of the matter himself or transfer the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

The District Judge, Sonepat shall send the records to the Court of District Judge, Delhi.

The transferee Court shall issue notice to the parties after fixing a date of hearing. We would request the concerned Court to accommodate the parties as far as possible.

The transfer petition is allowed.

.....J.

[S.B. SINHA]

.....
.J.

[CYRIAC JOSEPH]

New Delhi,
August 8, 2008.