

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 75-76 OF 2003

MITHILESH PRASAD SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(Office report on default)

Date: 18/04/2005 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.P. NAOLEKAR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. S.K. Verma,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. B.Datta, A.S.G.

Mr. Vikas Sharma, Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran,Adv.

Mr. Khwairakpam Nobin Singh,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Respondent No.3 is deleted from the array of parties.

The transfer petition is allowed, in terms of the signed order.

(N. Annapurna)
Court Master

(V.P. Tyagi)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file.)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.)NOS.75-76 OF 2003

Mithilesh Prasad Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India & Anr.

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Respondent No.3 has not been served. Learned counsel appearing for
respondent No.1-C.B.I. states that even the non-bailable warrants issued by
Magistrate against the said respondent could not be executed. Learned counsel for
the parties submit that, under these circumstances, he may be deleted as
a party respondent. It is also pointed out by learned Additional Solicitor General that steps

are also being taken for cancellation of the passport and other measures to secure the arrest of respondent No.3. Be that as it may, respondent No.3 is deleted from the array of the parties. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2 have no objection for transfer of the case from Imphal to an appropriate court at Delhi.

Accordingly, we direct that criminal cases bearing Crime No.5-A995/SIL and Crime No.6-A/99/SIL, entitled Mithilesh Prasad Singh Vs. Union of India & Anr., pending before the Sessions Division, Imphal, Manipur shall stand

...2/-

-2-

transferred to the District and Sessions Judge, New Delhi, who would assign the case to an appropriate court.

The transfer petitions are allowed accordingly.

.....J.

(Y.K. SABHARWAL)

.....J.

(P.P. NAOLEKAR)

New Delhi,

April 18, 2005.