

\$

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C)NO.186 OF 2010

REENA ... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

SAJI CHACKO ... RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Despite service, the respondent has not given proper instructions to the learned counsel appearing for the respondent. In this view of the matter, the Transfer Petition is allowed. Accordingly, we order for transfer of Case No.IDOP No.53 of 2009, entitled Saji Chacko Vs. Reena pending before the Principal District Judge, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu to the Family Court, Kannur, Kerla.

.....J.
(DALVEER BHANDARI)

.....J.
(DEEPAK VERMA)

NEW DELHI;
16TH DECEMBER, 2010
ITEM NO.6

COURT NO.4

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 186 OF 2010

REENA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SAJI CHACKO Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 16/12/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Nidhi,Adv.(SCLSC)

For Respondent(s) Mr.Charudatta Mahendrakar, Adv.
 Mr.Shabarish, Adv.
 For Ms. S. Ramamani,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard both sides.

The Transfer Petition is allowed, in terms of the
signed order.

(G.V.Ramana)
Court Master

(Neeru Bala Vij)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)