

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. No. 1 in &

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2005

CC 2068/2005

(From the judgement and order dated 09/02/2005 in RFA No. 41/2000 of  
The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

ATMA RAM

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PURAN CHAND PACKAGING INDS PVT.LTD.& ORS

Respondent(s)

(for permission to file SLP and with prayer for interim relief and office  
report )

Date: 28/02/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.M. DHARMADHIKARI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Santosh Kumar, Adv.

Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Permission to file SLP is granted.

Leave granted.

The appeal be treated as disposed of, in terms of  
the signed order.

(D.P. WALIA)

(RADHA R. BHATIA)

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1441 OF 2005

[arising out of I.A. No. 1 in & SLP(C) No.4921/2005 (CC2068)]

Atma Ram

... Appellant

vs.

Puran Chand Packaging Industries

Private Limited

... Respondent

O R D E R

Permission to file SLP is granted.

Leave granted.

Inasmuch as the impugned order passed by the High Court is

at one stage of the contempt proceedings which is a matter primarily

between the Court and the alleged contemnor, we are not inclined to

issue notice to the private parties arrayed as respondents.

The High Court has by its impugned order dated 9.2.2005

directed the presence of the appellant to be secured by non-bailable

warrants. It is stated by the learned counsel for the appellant that

the appellant has already made appearance in the High Court on

21.2.2005 and the next date appointed is 15.3.2005. He also states

that certain directions were made by the High Court which have been

complied with by the appellant.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, that

part of the order which directs the presence of the appellant to be

secured by non-bailable warrants is set aside. The appellant shall  
continue to appear in the High Court unless exempted from doing so.

The appeal be treated as disposed of.

.....CJI

(R.C. LAHOTI)

.....J

(D.M. DHARMADHIKARI)

New Delhi;

February 28, 2005.