

-ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.6 SECTION XIV
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 646 OF 2005

CHIEF POSTMASTER GENERAL SHILLONG Appellant (s)

VERSUS

LALHULIANA & ORS Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for taking addl. documents on record and prayer
for interim relief)

Date: 28/04/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Appellant(s) Mr. P. P. Malhotra, ASG
Mr. Harish Chander, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ashok Bhan, Adv.
Ms. Shweta Verma, Adv.
Ms. Rashmi Malhotra, Adv.
Mr. A. K. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. V.K. Verma, Adv.

Mr. Rana Ranjit Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Dr. Rajeev Dhawan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Manish Goswami, Adv.
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Mr. P. P. Malhotra, learned ASG is granted
four weeks' time to file an affidavit stating on
what basis a distinction is being made for the
purpose of HRA for Central Government employees
in some Class 'C' cities like Kohima and Shillong
and some employees in Aizawl which is Class 'C'
city but denying the HRA of Class 'B' city to the
respondents. Four weeks' time is allowed to file
the reply.

List after the summer vacation.

(Neetu Sachdeva)
Sr. P. A.

(Indu Satija)
Court Master