

ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.8

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 646 OF 2005

CHIEF POSTMASTER GENERAL

SHILLONG

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

LALHULIANA & ORS

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to place addl. documents on record, impleadment, PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL AFFIDAVIT and prayer for interim relief)

Date: 30/08/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU

For Appellant(s) Mr. P.P. Malhotra, ASG
Mr. Harish Chandra, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Sweta Verma, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.
Mr. A.K. Sharma, Adv. for
Mr. V.K. Verma, Adv. (AOR)

For Respondent(s) Dr. Rajiv Dhavan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Manish Goswami, Adv. for
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, Adv. (AOR)

For applicant in Mr. Rana Ranjit Singh, Adv.
I.A.No. 3

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Further arguments heard.

In response to some queries, Shri P.P. Malhotra, learned Additional Solicitor General made a request for two weeks' time

2

to enable him to file additional affidavit of a competent officer along with the relevant documents and also explain the rationale of the decision taken by the Ministry of Finance contained in Office Memorandum No. 2(2)/93-E.II(b), dated 14.5.1993 as also justification for payment of H.R.A to the employees of the Railways, Khadi and Village Commission and others at the rate of 15% despite the fact that the employees were posted in 'C' class cities.

The application filed by Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan is allowed to the extent of permitting the applicant to act as intervener in the proceedings of this appeal.

List the case for further arguments on 20.09.2011.

(G.SUDHAKARA RAO)
Court Master

(PHOOLAN WATI ARORA)
Court Master