

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).3281/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 07/11/2005 in WA No.1990/2005 of  
the HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

VADIVELU & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

TAHSILDAR, THIRUPPUR TALUK, THIRUPPUR &ORS

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 16/03/2007 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. G. Sivabalamurugan, Adv.

Mr. Y. Arunagiri, Adv.

Mr. L.K. Pandey, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

Mr. S. Vallinayagam, Adv.

Mr. S. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed and the matter is remitted to the High Court for consideration in light direction as contained in the signed order.

(A.S. BISHT)

BHARDWAJ)

COURT MASTER

(PUSHAP LATA

COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1441 OF 2007

[Arising out of SLP(C) No.3281/2006]

VADIVELU AND ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

THE TAHSILDAR, THIRUPPUR TALUK AND ORS.

RESPONDENT

(S)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we are of the opinion that the High Court which considered the writ petition filed by the appellant herein as a public interest litigation, should also consider the question as to whether the State of Madras, without taking any policy decision, can distribute its largess in a manner which does not satisfy the test of reasonableness under Article 14 of the Constitution of India. The appeal is allowed and the matter is remitted to the High Court for its consideration afresh in light of the aforesaid direction. The State of Tamil Nadu shall be impleaded as a party before the High Court.

.....J

(S.B. SINHA)

.....J

(MARKANDEY KATJU)

NEW DELHI;

MARCH 16, 2007.