

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) Dy. No.3583/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 04-12-2023 in CRLA. No. 542/2016 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Aurangabad]

DEEPAK

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 97305/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING, IA No.97306/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING / CURING THE DEFECTS AND IA No. 97304/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

SLP (Crl.)Diary No(s). 3585/2026 (Item no. 43)

(FOR ADMISSION, I.R., IA No. 103819/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING, IA No. 103820/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING / CURING THE DEFECTS AND IA No. 103818/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 15-04-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sagar Pahune Patil, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The petitioner challenges the judgment and order dated 04-12-2023 in CRLA. No. 542/2016 titled "*Deepak vs. State of Maharashtra*" and Crl.A. No. 576/2016 titled "*Abhay @ Abhi @Abhya vs. State of Maharashtra*" passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Aurangabad.

2. We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner(s).
3. Delay condoned.
4. Having considered the contentions made across the Bar, we do not find any reason to grant Special Leave to Appeals.
5. As such, the present Special Leave Petitions are dismissed on merits.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(RAJNI MUKHI)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(ANU BHALLA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)