

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 1175/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 17/01/2001 in Crl.O.P.No. 13026/98 of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

M. SOUNDRAPANDIYAN

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

C. EDWARD & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for ex-Parte stay)
(With Office Report)

Date : 04/04/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.B. SHAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. VARIAVA

For Petitioner (s)

Mr. Ambrish Kumar,Adv.

For Respondent (s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.SP2

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.
The learned counsel has pointed out that in the main judgment in paragraphs 30 and 35 the Court has specifically observed that because of the efflux of time, the Court was not proposing to initiate criminal proceedings in a criminal court against the petitioner. However, some erroneous noting is made after the end of judgment in the true copy given to the petitioner to the following effect:-

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.SP1

"Disposing of the petition with observations to direct the respondents 2 to 6 to register a crime case against the first respondent etc., as stated within."

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.SP2

Prima facie it appears that there is some substance in what is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner. However for this purpose this petition is not required to be entertained as it is

open to the petitioner to approach the High Court for appropriate correction. Hence this petition is dismissed.

.SP1

(Vijay Kumar Sharma)
Court Master

(K.K. Chadha)
Court Master