

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).14839/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 18/05/2006 in CWP No. 1846/2006 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

RAKESH CHAND GUPTA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY &ANR

Respondent(s)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 1510 of 2007

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 16476 of 2008

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 21123 of 2006

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 21124 of 2006

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 21125 of 2006

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 21126 of 2006

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 3863 of 2007

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 4325 of 2007

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 7262 of 2007

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 969 of 2007

(With office report)

Date: 05/11/2008 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Ms. S. Janani,Adv.

Mr. P.N. Gupta,Adv.

Mr. P.P. Singh,Adv.

Mr. J.K. Nayyar,Adv.

Ms. S. Janani,Adv.

Mr. Subramonium Prasad,Adv.

Mr. Govind Goel & Associates

For Respondent(s) Mr. V. Sivasubramanian,Adv.

Mr. Prashant Kumar Sharma,Adv.

M/S. Govind Goel & Associates,Adv.

Mr. Ambuj Agarwal, Adv.

..2/-

- 2 -

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Nobody is present for the petitioner in SLP(C) No. 969/2007 and 1510/2007 for the petitioner.

As a last chance, petitioner has to disclose the outcome of dasti service before the next date.

Learned Advocate Mr. Ambuj Agarwal appearing on behalf of M/S. Govind Goel & Associates, Advocates states that they have filed Vakalatnama for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in SLP(C) Nos. 3863/2007, 4325/2007, 7262/2007 and 16476/2008 and requesting for additional copies of the pleadings.

Petitioner has to provide additional copies to them, as per rule within a week.

Nobody is present for the Petitioner in SLP(C) No. 21126/2006. As a last chance petitioner in such SLP has to disclose the outcome of dasti service upon unserved respondents before next date, if unserved respondents are common in any of the connected SLP. Petitioner is permitted to serve the notice to the Advocate who has filed appearance in any of the connected SLP.

In SLP(C) No. 16476/2008 issue fresh dasti notice upon unserved Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Petitioner is permitted to serve the dasti notice to the Advocate who has filed appearance in any of the connected SLP.

Served respondent may file Counter Affidavit till then.

List the matter on 11th December, 2008.

(S.G. Shah)
Registrar

MG