

°
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO.7894 OF 2002

SAVITHRAMMA

.....APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

DEPUTY COMMNR., MANDYA DISTT. & ORS.

....RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

In our view, the impugned judgment of the Division Bench of the High Court which was passed under Section 4 of the Karnataka High Court Act, was not passed by a speaking and reasoned order. In fact, the only order which was passed is as follows :-

- "We have heard learned counsel for the appellant.
2. We have also gone through the impugned order passed by the learned Single Judge. We entirely agree with the view taken by the learned Single Judge.
 3. Accordingly, the writ appeal is dismissed."

In this view of the matter, the impugned judgment is set aside and the matter is remitted back to the High Court for passing a speaking and reasoned order in accordance with law. The appeal is allowed to the extent indicated above.

The High Court is requested to decide the appeal in accordance with law preferably within a period of three months from the date of communication of this order.

There shall be no order as to costs.

.....J.
(TARUN CHATTERJEE)

.....J.
(HARJIT SINGH BEDI)

NEW DELHI;
MAY 8, 2008.

ITEM NO.117

COURT NO.10

SECTION IVA

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 7894 OF 2002

SAVITHRAMMA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

DEPUTY COMMNR., MANDYA DISTT. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 08/05/2008 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TARUN CHATTERJEE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARJIT SINGH BEDI

For Appellant(s) Mr. Naveen R. Nath, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Devendra Kr. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Mahale, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kr. Chawla, Adv.
Mr. A. Rohen Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

The appeal is allowed to the extent indicated in the signed order.

There shall be no order as to costs.

(Satish K. Yadav)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master

(Signed Order is placed on the file)