

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).8203/2012

STATE OF M.P.& ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RADHE SHYAM DOHALIYA

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and prayer for interim relief
and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) NO.7672/2012
SLP(C) NO.7670/2012
SLP(C) NO.19292/2012
SLP(C) NO.19394/2012
SLP(C) NO.19396/2012
SLP(C) NO.19400/2012
SLP(C) NO.21827-21828/2012
SLP(C) NO.21834/2012
SLP(C) NO.23980/2012
SLP(C) NO.23982/2012
SLP(C) NO.23984/2012
SLP(C) NO.23985/2012
SLP(C) NO.23986/2012
SLP(C) NO.23981/2012
SLP(C) NO.26177/2012
SLP(C) NO.30274/2012
SLP(C) NO.30275/2012
SLP(C) NO.30276/2012
SLP(C) NO.25275/2012
SLP(C) NO.25277/2012
SLP(C) NO.25278/2012
SLP(C) NO.25279/2012
SLP(C) NO.25280/2012
SLP(C) NO.25282/2012
SLP(C) NO.25283/2012
SLP(C) NO.25287/2012
SLP(C) NO.34870/2012
SLP(C) NO.34868/2012
SLP(C) NO.38225/2012
SLP(C) NO.38226/2012
SLP(C) NO.38227/2012
SLP(C) NO.38228/2012
SLP(C) NO.38229/2012
SLP(C) NO.38233/2012
SLP(C) NO.38235/2012

-2-

Item No.24

SLP(C) NO.38236/2012
SLP(C) NO.38239/2012
SLP(C) NO.36914/2012
SLP(C) NO.1577/2013
SLP(C) NO.5597/2013
SLP(C) NO.9631/2013

SLP(C) NO.9632/2012
SLP(C) NO.9633/2013
SLP(C) NO.9637/2013
SLP(C) NO.9639/2013
SLP(C) NO.14345/2013
SLP(C) NO.14343/2013
SLP(C) NO.12433/2013
SLP(C) NO.12434/2013
SLP(C) NO.12435/2013
SLP(C) NO.12436/2013
SLP(C) NO.12437/2013
SLP(C) NO.12438/2013
SLP(C) NO.12440/2013
SLP(C) NO.12442-12443/2013
SLP(C) NO.14022/2013
SLP(C) NO.18825/2013
SLP(C) NO.18826/2013
SLP(C) NO.18827/2013
SLP(C) NO.21356/2013
(With office report)

Date: 29/10/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr Vikas Bansal, Adv.
Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr Boboy P, Adv.
Mr Joseph Aristotle S, Adv.
Mr Shivaji M Jadhav, Adv.
Mr S.K.Verma, Adv.
Mr Amlan Kumar Ghosh, Adv.
Ms Minakshi Vij, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) NO.8203/2012

It appears that on behalf of the petitioner, the ld. Counsel, Mr C.D.Singh has now filed vakalatnama and appearance in place of Ms Vibha Datta Makhija who has been designated as Senior Advocate.

-3-

Item No.24

Final chance is given to the ld. Counsel for the petitioner for taking steps against the sole respondent by filing the requisite process fee and spare copies in a weeks' time and on compliance notice be issued through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

SLP(C) NO.7670,23980,23982,23984,23985,23986,23981 of 2012

In these batch of matters, despite final chance granted on the last the ld. Counsel for the sole respondent has failed to file counter affidavit on record. Request for further grant of time stands rejected as the same does not fall under the purview of the rules.

However, further necessary orders would be passed as and when other connected matters would become ready.

SLP(C) NO.25279/2012

Notice be re issued to the sole respondent through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

SLP(C) NO.25282/2012

Sole respondent is reported to be duly served through post but none appeared on his behalf.

However, further necessary orders would be passed as and when other connected matters would become ready.

SLP(C) NO.7672, 19394, 19396, 19400, 21834, 26177, 25275, 25277,25278,25280,25283,25287 of 2012

In these batch of matters, the ld. Counsel for the petitioner

despite specific directions passed on the last date has failed to take steps against the respondent.

Office report in default be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

-4-

Item No.24

SLP(C) NO.19292/2012

In all there are 20 respondents.

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 15 and 17 to 20 has already come on record

On behalf of respondent No.16, the ld. Advocate, Mr J.M.Rao has sought one more chance for filing vakalatnama and counter affidavit on record. Three weeks' time is granted as final chance.

SLP(C) NO.21827-28/2012

In these batch of matters, sole respondent is granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit.

SLP(C) NO.38226,38239,38225,38229,38233,36914,30274 of 2012

In these batch of matters, for want of action on the part of the ld. Counsel for the petitioner, notice could not be issued to the sole respondent.

Office report in default be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

SLP(C) NO.34870,30275,30276 of 2012

In these batch of matters, sole respondent is granted three weeks' time as final chance for filing counter affidavit.

SLKP(C) NO.38228/2012

For want of action on the part of the ld. Counsel for the petitioner, notices could not be issued to respondent Nos. 1,3,4,6,7 and 8.

Office report in default be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

-5-

Item No.24

SLP(C) NO.38227/2012

For want of action on the part of the ld. Counsel for the petitioner, notices could not be issued to respondent Nos. 1,2,4,8,9.

Office report in default be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

SLP(C) NO.38235/2012

For want of action on the part of the ld. Counsel for the petitioner, notices could not be issued to respondent Nos. 3 and 5.

Office report in default be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

SLP(C) NO.38236/2012

Despite specific directions passed on the last date the ld. Counsel for the petitioner has failed to take steps against respondent Nos. 1 to 6.

Office report in default be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

SLP(C) NO.34868/2012

Three weeks' time is granted as final chance to both the respondents for filing counter affidavit.

SLP(C) NO.1577,5597 of 2013

Despite final chance granted on the last date, sole respondent in these batch of matters has failed to file counter affidavit.

However, further necessary orders would be passed as and when other connected matters would become ready.

-6-

Item No.24

SLP(C) NOS. 9631,9632,9633,9637,9639 of 2013

Sole respondent in SLP(C) No. 9633/2013 has failed to file counter affidavit despite final chance granted on the last date.

In SLP(C) no. 9637/2013, sole respondent is granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit.

Sole respondent in SLP(C) Nos. 9632/2013 is reported to be duly served through post but none appeared on his behalf.

Service report in respect of the respondent is awaited in SLP(C)

nos. 9631 and 9639 of 2013. Reminder be issued to the concerned District Court.

SLP(C) NO.14345,14343 of 2013

In these batch of matters, sole respondent has failed to file counter affidavit despite final chance granted on the last date.

However, further necessary orders would be passed as and when other connected matters would become ready.

SLP(C)NO.12433,12434,12435,12436,12437,12438,12440,12442-43 of 2013

Sole respondent in SLP(C) Nos. 12434 and 12435 of 2013 and respondent No.1 in SLP(C) no. 12442-43/2013 are reported to be duly served but none appeared on their behalf.

In SLP(C) no. 12437 and 12442-43 of 2013 sole respondent and respondent No.2 are duly represented through

-7-

Item No.24

the ld. Counsel, Mr S.M.Jadhav. In these batch of matters, three weeks' time is granted as final chance for filing counter affidavit.

In rest of the matters notice be re issued to the sole respondent through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

SLP(C) NO.14022/2013

Notice be re issued to the sole respondent through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

SLP(C) NO.18825,18826,18827 of 2013

In SLP(C) no. 18825/2013, sole respondent is duly represented through the ld. Counsel, Dr S.K.Verma. It appears that he has moved an application for de-tagging of the matter from SLP(C) no. 20025/2013. Registry to process the same as per rules.

Registry to submit report about the status of filing of counter affidavit by him.

In SLP(C) no. 18826/2013, sole respondent is reported to be duly served through post but none appeared on his behalf.

In SLP(C) no. 18827/2013, notice be re issued to the sole respondent through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

SLP(C) NO.21356/2013

Notice be re issued to the sole respondent through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

-8-

Item No.24

Registry to take note of the directions passed by this Court in these batch of matters and take appropriate action accordingly.

List again on 5.12.2013.

		(M.A.SAYEED)	
		REGISTRAR	

hj