

W.P(C)No. 150 OF 1997

ITEM No.1

Court No. 1

SECTION PIL
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Civil) No.150/1997

NOIDA ENTREPRENEURS ASSOcn.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

N O I D A & ORS

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for directions and for seeking discharge from array
of respondents)

(For Final Disposal)

With
W.P(C)No.529/1998

(Along with Enquiry report submitted by Sh.A.P.Singh,
Chairman Board of Revenue,U.P. Lucknow)

Date : 03/12/2002 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

Amicus Curiae Dr. Rajeev Dhawan,Sr.Adv.
 Mr. Nikhil Nayyar,Adv.
 Mr. Dayan Krishnan,Adv.

For Petitioner (s) Mr. E.C. Vidya Sagar,Adv.

 Mr.Naresh Pratap Singh&Ms.Manjula Gupta,Adv

For Respondent (s) Mr. P.N. Mishra,Sr.Adv.
 M/s. A.K.Upadhyay,Naveen Chawla and
 Mr. Bharat Sangal,Adv.

 Ms. Sangeeta Kumar,Adv.

 M/s.Ejaz Maqbool,Ujjwal Kr. Jha,Aslam Ahmed
 and Ranjan Kumar Jha,Adv.

 Mr. K.K. Sud,ASG
 Mr. Ajay K. Agrawal.,Adv.

State of U.P. Mr.Ajay K.Agrawal & Ms.Alka Agrawal,Adv.

 Mr. P.Parmeshwaran,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Perused the note of Amicus Curiae, Dr. Dhawan requesting for some interim directions. Having heard Dr. Dhawan as well as the learned counsel appearing for the Noida and the State of U.P., we direct that the State of U.P. may take a final decision on the representation of Ravi Mathur, which is pending for quite some time. This may be done within four weeks from today. We further direct that the Noida authorities may indicate the details of allotments made in favour of several persons of which details have not been submitted in affidavit dt. 23.9.2002, and also the reasons forming the basis of regularisation and/or cancellation of the same to enable the Court to take a comprehensive view of the matter and dispose it of finally. This may be done within four weeks from today.

So far as the application of respondent No.7 to be deleted from the array of the party is concerned, filing of a criminal case or a charge-sheet being filed by the CBI, would not be the sole ground for deleting respondent No.7 from the array of party concerned inasmuch while considering the question of alleged illegality/irregularity, the role played by respondent No.7 may have to be considered, and it would not be proper to consider the same in her absence. In that view of the matter for the time being, the prayer of respondent No.7 to be deleted cannot be allowed. This matter may be listed on 18th February, 2003 for final disposal.

.SP1

(Y.P.Dhamija)
Court Master

(Suneet Bala Sharma)
Assistant Registrar