

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).23809/2005

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY BOARD & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATUS SPINNING MILLS LTD & ANR.

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C)Nos.26309, 26387, 26382, 26368, 26345, 26355, 26372, 26366, 26378 of 2005, 2026, 1942, 1941, 1954, 1949, 1951, 1953, 1944, 1930, 1946, 1938, 1947, 1950, 1932, 1945, 1428, 1952, 1935, 1927, 1937, 1955, 1948, 1940, 1919, 1925, 1933, 2242, 2246, 2287, 2286, 2285, 2282, 2279, 2273, 2274, 2276, 2249, 2281, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2257, 2258, 2268, 2264, 2269, 4278, 4280, 4281, 4282, 4283, 4284, 4285, 4286, 4287, 4288, 4289, 4290, 4291, 4292, 6660, 6724, 6757, 6763, 6761, 6767, 6765, 18803, 18804, 18805, 18806, 18807, 18808, 18809, 18810, 18811, 18812, 18813, 18814, 18815, 18816, 18817, 18818, 21338, 21340, 21341, 21342, 21343, 21347, 21348, 21350, 21351, 21352, 21353, 21354, 21355, 21356, 21357, 16509, 16510, 21723, 21600, 21604, 21602, 21605, 21616, 21613, 21631, 21633, 21608 of 2006, 2385, 2387, 2388 and 2391 of 2007

Date: 03/01/2008 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Mr. T. Harish Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Adv.
M/s. P.H. Parekh & Co., Adv.
Mr. R. Nedumaran, Adv.
Mr. Senthil Jagadeesan, Adv.
Mr. S. Ravi Shankar, Adv.
Mr. M.A. Chinnasamy, Adv.
Mr. K.K. Mani, Adv.
Mr. A.T.M. Sampath, Adv.
Ms. Srikala Gurukrishna Kumar, Adv.
Mr. P. Narasimhan, Adv.
Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.
Mrs. Prabha Swami, Adv.

. .2/-

.2.

Mr. Naresh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. V. Balachandran, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Mehta, Adv.
Mr. Pranab Kumar Mullick
Ms. V. Mohana, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

It is unfortunate that though office report is referring the
status of unserved Respondent No.1 with reference to

SLP(C)Nos.1938, 2246, 2287, 2285, 2282, 2279, 2273, 2274,
2276, 2249, 2281, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2257, 2268, 2269,
4278, 4280, 4281, 4282, 4283, 4285, 4286, 4287, 4288, 4289,
4290 and 4292 of 2006, files of such SLPs are not forwarded to
this Court. In absence of files it cannot be verified that who is
unserved.

Office has to take appropriate steps to verify the status of
notice in each SLP and if the unserved respondents in these
matters is litigant in any other matter, then Office has to report
the same with the name of Advocate appearing for such litigant.

Office has to verify that whether any of the connected matter
wherein service is complete is already listed before the Hon'ble
Court No. 6 at Serial No.205 on 4.1.2008.

List the matters on 9th January, 2008.

(S.G. Shah)
Registrar