

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2005

CC 3942/2005

(From the judgement and order dated 03/11/2004 in LPA No. 835/1995 & WP
No. 6920/1993 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

PANIPAT THERMAL POWER PROJ.STN.(HPGCL)

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GOVIND AND ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With IA.1 appln. for c/delay in filing SLP)

Date: 01/08/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RUMA PAL

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE AR. LAKSHMANAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Neeraj K. Jain,adv.

Mr. A.K.Chaudhary,adv.

Mr. Bharat Singh,adv.

Mr. S.Singh,Mr. U.Shankar and

Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad,Advs.

For Respondent(s)

Dr. Kailash Chand,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

The appeal is disposed of.

[SUMAN WADHWA]

[MADHU SAXENA]

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. OF 2005
(Arising out of SLP(C)No. of 2005)
(CC.3942/2005)

Panipat Thermal Power
Proj.Stn.(HPGCL)

.. Appellant

vs.

Govind and Anr.

.. Respondents

O R D E R

Leave granted.

Apart from the other issues raised by the appellant, it is stated that the Letters Patent Appeal had been allowed by the High Court without giving an opportunity of hearing to the appellant. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents has not controverted this. The impugned judgment of the High Court is accordingly set aside and the matter is remanded back to the High Court for redisposal after hearing the parties. It is made clear that we have not gone into the merits of the

case.

The appeal is disposed of.

.....J.
(RUMA PAL)

.....J.
(Dr. AR. LAKSHMANAN)

New Delhi;
August 1, 2005.