

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1968 of 2010

STATE OF ODISHA & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MANAS RANJAN NAYAK & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

We are satisfied that the respondents could not have been directed to continue in service. Accordingly, the impugned order is vacated. However, as regards the relaxation of age in the regular service, if the respondents make a representation and a case is made out, the Government may consider their case in accordance with law.

The appeal is accordingly allowed.

Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.
(ADARSH KUMAR GOEL)

.....J.
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

New Delhi,
August 2, 2017.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SCivil Appeal No(s). 1968/2010

STATE OF ODISHA & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MANAS RANJAN NAYAK & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for intervention/direction)

Date : 02-08-2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALITFor Appellant(s) Mr. Pawan Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Sarvjit Partap Singh, Adv.
Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Suresh Chandra Tripathy, AOR

Mr. Rajiv S. Roy, Adv.
Mr. Avrojyoti Chatterjee, Adv.
Mr. Abhijit S. Roy, Adv.
Ms. Jayshree Shah, Adv.
Mr. Sebat Kumar Devria, Adv.
Mr. Pranab Kumar Mullick, AORMr. Sushil Karanjkar, Adv.
Mr. K. N. Rai, AORMrs. Kirti Renu Mishra, AOR
Ms. Apurva Upmanya, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In terms of the signed order, the appeal is allowed.

(MAHABIR SINGH)
COURT MASTER(PARVEEN KUMARI PASRICHA)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)