

SUPR EME COUR T OF I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR ASHOK MENON

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).1876/2009

AMUDALA VEERAYYA & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

LAKSHMI SREENIVASA COOP.BUIL.STY.LD.&ANR

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.,permission to file additional documents and prayer for interim relief )

WITH SLP(C) NO. 2068-2069 of 2009

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 8180-8181 of 2009

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 25/08/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. C. Balakrishna,Adv.

Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, Adv.

Mr. Vishal Arun, Adv.

Mr. Balasubrahmanyam Kamarsu, Adv.

Mr. Vishal Arun, Adv.

Mr. D. Bharat Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. K. Shivraj Choudhuri,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

In SLP (C) No.1876/09, it is submitted on behalf of the counsel for the petitioner that the LRs. of deceased Respondent No.3 are already on party array from High Court itself. Therefore there is no need to bring LRs. of Respondent No.3 on record. The respondent No.3 was mistakenly included though his statement was recorded in the High Court itself. Therefore, application for deletion of the name of Respondent No.3 is not defective. The Registry is directed to take steps as per rules.

In SLP(C) No.2068-2069/09 service of notice is complete, but no counter affidavit is filed.

Contd....2/-

In SLP(C) No.8180-81/09 the petitioner has not filed the process fee as pointed out in the office report. The Ld. counsel for the petitioner submits that Respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 9 have already appeared in the connected matters being taken with this case, and copies are already served on the counsel for these respondents. Therefore, service of notice is to be taken as complete.

List the matter before the Hon'ble Court as per rules.

aa

(Ashok Menon)  
Registrar