

ITEM No.62

Court No. 6

SECTION XI
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

IA 3 IN

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.1347/2002

(From the judgement and order dated 10/01/2002 in SA 38/02
of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

ANUPAMA MAURYA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.
(With Appln(s). for directions)
(With Office Report)

Respondent (s)

Date : 17/02/2003 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Sanjay Visen, adv.
Mr. Manoj K. Mishra, Adv.
Mr. A.S. Bhasme,Adv.

For Respondent (s)

Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava,Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra,Adv.

Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu,Adv.
Mr. John Mathew, Adv.
Mr. Sushil K.Tekriwal, Adv.
Mr. Ashok K. Mahajan,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Shri Raju Ramachandran, Additional Solicitor General appearing for Union of India has brought to our notice an order dated 7.2.2003 passed by three Judges' Bench whereby considering the nature of the matter, it was directed that the matter be placed before a Bench of five Judges. Learned Addl. Solicitor General submits that the point in the present case and in the case which has been referred to a Bench of five Judges is similar. In this view we tag this matter with the writ petition No. 29/2003.

Petitioner is granted liberty to move an application seeking further directions for declaration of result and counselling etc. at the appropriate stage, if need be.

In the meanwhile the petitioner shall also take steps to complete service on respondent Nos. 2 and 3 as according to the Office Report dated 15th February, 2003 the service on the said respondent is incomplete.

Indu
COURT MASTER

{V.P.TYAGI }

