

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 425 OF 2005

GURTEJ SINGH @ TEJA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

With

Criminal Appeal No. 694 of 2005

(With office report)

Date: 18/04/2006 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE AR. LAKSHMANAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. R.K. Talwar,Adv.

Mr. S.L. Aneja,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. D.P. Singh,Adv.

Mr. Arun K. Sinha,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The appeals are dismissed.

[Alka Dudeja]

Court Master

[Om Prakash]

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 425 OF 2005

Gurtej Singh @ Teja

...Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 694 OF 2005

O R D E R

Appellant - Kuljinder Singh was convicted by the trial court under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code [for short, 'I.P.C.']; whereas appellant - Gurtej Singh was convicted under

Section 302 read with Section 34 I.P.C. and both of them were sentenced to undergo imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs.10,000/- each; in default to undergo further imprisonment for a period of one year. On appeal being preferred, the High Court confirmed the conviction. Hence, these appeals by special leave.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records, we are of the view that the trial court as well as the

....2/-

- 2 -

High Court have recorded conviction of the appellants upon threadbare discussion of evidence and no interference by this Court is called for.

The appeals, accordingly, fail and the same are dismissed.

.....J.

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J.

[DR. AR. LAKSHMANAN]

.....J.

[D.K. JAIN]

New Delhi,
April 18, 2006.