\204IT E M NO.3 7 COURT NO.3 S EC T I O N II

S UP R E M E COUR T OF I N D I A
R ECO R D OF P R OC E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).1 3 0 3 / 2 0 0 5
(From the judgement and order dated 2 2 / 0 9 / 2 0 0 4 in CR L P No. 1 5 2 7 / 2 0 0 4
of
The HIGH COUR T OF A.P AT HYD E R A B A D)

KA K A R L A NAG E S W A R A RAO Petitioner(s)
VE R S U S
M.L. NA RU L L A AND ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 1 3 / 0 8 / 2 0 0 7 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORA M :
HON'B L E MR. JUS T I C E ASHO K BHA N
HON'B L E MR. JUS T I C E V.S. SI R P U R K A R

For Petitioner(s) Mr. U.U. Lalit, Sr. Adv.
Mr. GV R Choudary, Mr. K. Shivraj Choudhuri,
Mr. Somu Krishn a Murthy, Abhishek Sar k a r,Advs.
For Respondent(s)
Mrs.D. Bha r athi Reddy,Adv.

Mr. Ashok H. Desai, Sr. Adv.
Mr. H.D. Petit, Adv.
Mr. U.A. Ran a, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Rao, Adv.
Mr. Nitesh Jain, Adv. for
M/S Gagrat & Co.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
OR D E R
On 3 rd of Ja nu a ry 2 0 0 6 counsel for the respondents had made an offer to either pay the entire consideration received by the Company or if the petitioner wants to retain some land, then respondent shall return the amou nt of consideration on pr o rat a basis. Counsel for the petitioner states that the of fer made by the respondents is not acceptable as such. Counsel seeks to get furt her instructions and, if possible, to settle the dispute with the petitioner within the next four weeks.

To come up on 1 0 th September, 2 0 0 7 .

(J.S. Rawat) (Kanwal Singh)
AR- cum- P S Court Master