

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5440 OF 2000

HARI RAM & ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.
(With office report)

Respondent(s)

WITH

Civil Appeal NO. 5442 of 2000
(With appln. for bringing on record additional documents and exemption
from filing O.T and with office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 5443 of 2000
(With appln. for exemption from filing O.T. and with office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 5444 of 2000
(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 5441 of 2000
(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 5445 of 2000
(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 5449 of 2000
(with office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 5446 of 2000
(With office report)

Date: 19/02/2008 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K. THAKKER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN

For Appellant(s) Mr. Harinder Mohan Singh, Adv.
In CAS.5440, Mr. Kaushal Yadav, Adv.
5541/2000 Mr. Durgesh yadav, Adv.

In CAS.5442,5443, Mr. R.K. Kapoor, Adv.
5449/2000 Mr. M.K. Verma, Adv.
Ms. Shweta Kapoor, Adv.
Mr. Anis Ahmed Khan, Adv.

CA 5440/2000 Etc....contd....(It. 101,Ct. 9,Dt. 19.2.2008)

..2/-

:2:

In CAS.5444, Mr. Aseem Mehrotra, Adv.
5445/2000 Ms. Shefali Jain, Adv.
Mr. Abhijat P. Medh, Adv.

In CA 5446/2000 Mr. U.S. Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.T.V.George, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

At the time of hearing of the appeals, it was asserted by the learned counsel for the appellants that in respect of certain owners, though the Committee constituted in pursuance of the order passed by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana did not favour release of land from acquisition and in spite of the fact that relief had not been granted by the High Court, certain properties had been released or partly released from acquisition by exercising power under Section 48 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 by the State Government.

"Brief note" which has been prepared, has been shown to us, a copy thereof is given to the learned counsel appearing for the State of Haryana. Learned counsel for the State of Haryana states that he is not aware as to whether in spite of refusal to release land by the Committee and in spite of dismissal of writ petition, any property had been released by the State Government.

We may only observe that let both the parties consider the matter. The appellants will try to get the requisite information as to when such a power was exercised by the State Government. Likewise, if the land is released from acquisition, obviously the State Government must be in possession of the necessary material. Let that material be shown and be placed on record on the next date of hearing.

List after two weeks as part-heard.

[Charanjeet Kaur]
Court Master

[Vinod Kulvi]
Court Master