

a;

C.A.No. 3100 OF 2000

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

Item No. 101
(PH)

Court No. 3

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No. 3100 of 2000@@

CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

V. Purushotham Rao

...Appellant(s)

...Petitioners

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

...Respondent(s)

With

CA Nos.3104-3105, 3089 of 2000, W.P.(C) Nos. 577/99, 305, 306,
143, 142, 309, 396, 111 of 2000, CA Nos. 3090, 3117, 3114, 3101,
3103, 3097, 3102, 3106, 3098, 3107, 3091, 3093, 3109, 3086, 3088,
3087, 3108, 3096, 3095 of 2000, SLP(C) Nos.7781, 7782 of 2000, SLP(C)
No..... CC 144 and CC 2951 of 2000., 4675/01, 2172/01,
12782/2000.

Date : 10/10/2001 These petition(s)/appeal(s) was/were called
on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.B. PATTANAIAK
HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE RUMA PAL

For Appellant (s)

For Petitioner (s)

CAs. 3100, 3104 Mr. P.S. Narasimha, Adv.
& 3105/2000 Mr. G. Seshagiri, Adv.
Mr. A. Raghunath, Mr. P. Sridhar, Adv.

In CA 3106/2001 Mr. O.P.Sharma, Sr.Adv.
Mr. R.C.Gubrele, Adv.
Mr. K.R.Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Atrey, Adv.
Ms. Nanita Sharma, Adv.

CA 3089/2000 & Mr. V A Mohta, Sr.Adv.
WP 396/2000 Mr. D. Rama Krishna Reddy,
Mrs. D.Bharathi Reddy, Adv.

Mr. U.N. Bachawat, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sushil K. Jain, Adv.

CA 3090/2000 Mr. Dushyant A. Dave, Sr. Adv.
Mr. P. Venugopal, Adv.
Mr. K.J. John, Adv. for
M/s. K J John & Co.

Mr. Pranab Kumar Mullick, Adv.

CA 3101/2000 Mr. M.C. Bhandare, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Talwar, Adv.
Mr. Swetank Shantanu, Mr.Mohan V Katarki, Adv

Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Adv.
 Mr. Abhijeet Chatterjee, Adv.
 Ms. Rukhsana, Mrs. S. Janani, Adv.

Mr. A. Subba Rao, Adv.

Ms. C.K. Sucharita, Adv.
 Ms. (Dr.) Laxmi Shastri, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

Mr. Rana Ranjit Singh, Adv.

Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv.

CAs 3102& 3086/00

Mr. P.N. Misra, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. S.R. Bhat, Adv.
 Mr. R.K. Kapoor, Mr. B R Kapoor, Adv. for
 Mr. Anis Ahmed Khan, Adv.

Mr. B. Partha Sarthy, Adv.

Mr. S C Maheshwari, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. M P S Tomar, Mr. P.K. Chakraverti, Adv.

Mr. K. Ram Kumar, Adv.

Mr. B K Satija, Adv.

Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Adv.
 Ms. Shobha, Ms. Anu Mehta, and
 Mr. S.K. Mehta, Adv. for
 M/s. K L Mehta & Co.

Ms. Rekha Palli, Adv.

WP(C) 111/2000

Mr. G.L. Sanghi, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Pramod B. Agarwala, Adv.
 Ms. Praveena Gautam, Adv.

Mr. R. Nedumaran, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

Mr. H.K. Puri, Adv.
 M/s. S.K. Puri, Rajesh Srivastava,
 Ujjwal Banerjee, Mrs. Anindita Gupta, Adv.

For CPIL

Mr. Sanjeev K. Kapoor, Adv.
 Mr. Vishal Gupta, Adv.
 Mr. Narinder K. Verma, Adv. for
 Mr. Prashant Bhushan, Adv.

For Union of India

Mr. T.L.V. Iyer, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Indra Swahney, Adv.
 Mr. C V S Subba Rao, Adv.
 Mr. K.C. Kaushik, Adv.
 Mr. BV Balram Das, Adv.

Mr. A.K. Raina, Adv.
 Mr. R.K. Bhartiya, Adv.
 Mr. Anil K. Jha, Mr. B R Vig, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Adv.

Mr. K.K. Gupta, Adv.

Mr. P.N. Misra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Sarin, Mr. Ashok Mathur, Advs.

Mr. Jai Prakash Narayan Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Y. Raja Gopal Rao, Adv.

Mr. S U K Sagar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel, the Court passed the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J
.SP2

W.P. (C) no. 577/99.@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Heard Mr. Bachawat, learned senior counsel for the petitioner.

This is a petition under Art. 32 of the Constitution of India against an order of cancellation of the discretionary allotment made in favour of the petitioner by the High Court of Delhi. The petitioner had also approached this Court earlier by way of S.L.Ps. arising out of CC Nos. 5762-67/99 which stood dismissed on the ground of delay. The self-same order of the Delhi High Court is assailed now by filing this petition under Art. 32.

We are not inclined to invoke our jurisdiction under Art. 32 of the Constitution of India, as in our view in a matter like this, the earlier order of dismissal of the SLP concludes the matter. If the SLP itself was dismissed on the ground of delay, we see no reason why a petition under Art. 32 could be entertained after this length of time. This petition accordingly stands dismissed.

WP(C) Nos. 396 and 111 of 2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Heard Mr. Mohta and Mr. G.L. Sanghi, learned senior

counsel for the petitioners, and Mr. P.N. Misra for respondent no. 4 and Mr. T.L.V. Iyer for the Union of India.

Having regard to the facts that the self same order which has been the subject matter of challenge in these writ petitions under Article 32 was under challenge before this Court in SLPs which stood dismissed and Review Petitions filed thereafter also stood dismissed, we are not inclined to entertain the writ petitions under Art. 32. They accordingly stand rejected.

IA no.2 in WP 396/2000 for impleadment stands dismissed as not pressed.

.SP1

C.A. Nos. 3100, 3104-3105,3089, 3090, 3117, 3097, 3102 and@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
3086 of 2000. @@
CCCCCCCCCCCC

.SP2

Heard.
Judgment reserved.

Rest of the matters be listed as part heard.

.SP1

(J.S. Rawat)
Court Master

(Suneet Bala Sharma)
Court Master