

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2013  
CC 3562/2013

(From the judgement and order dated 28/01/2013 in CMA No.1206/2012, of The  
HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDERABAD)

M/S EAST INDIA UDYOG LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MAYTAS INFRA LTD. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file SLP without c/c as well as plain copy  
of impugned order and exem. from filing c/c as well as plain copy of the  
impugned order)

Date: 01/02/2013 This Petition was called on for mentioning today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Buddy A.Rangadhan,Adv.

Mr. Ajay Bhargava,Adv.

Ms. Vanita Bhargava,Adv.

Mr. Nitin Mishra,Adv.for

M/S. Khaitan & Co.

For Respondent(s)

UPON being mentioned by counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Taken on Board.

This is one of the more curious sets of petitions  
filed before this Court.

The petitioner filed a petition under Section 9 of  
the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (in short "the Act")  
seeking a direction restraining the respondents from encashing  
the bank guarantee given by

-2-

it to respondent No.1. The Additional Chief Judge, City Civil  
Court, Hyderabad rejected the petition. Against the order of the  
Additional Chief Judge, the petitioner filed an appeal under  
Section 37 of the Act before the High Court. The High Court  
dismissed the appeal. The petitioner has filed the special leave  
petition against the order of the High Court. The special leave  
petition, however, does not enclose a copy of the impugned order.

It is accompanied with IAs seeking exemption from filing the  
certified copy or even a plain copy of the impugned order.

The special leave petition and the IAs are utterly  
frivolous and the entire set of petitions, including the special  
leave petition is dismissed lock, stock and barrel.

