

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 1862-1866 OF 2003 IN CIVIL APPEAL 8609-8613/2001

BHIM SINGH & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

WITH

R.P.(C) NO. 443 of 2004 in CA No. 8047/2003

(With appln(s) for c/delay)

R.P.(C) NO. 473 of 2004 in CA 8048/2003

(With appln(s) for c/delay and office report)

R.P.(C) NO. 518-520 of 2004 in CA Nos. 8667/2001

(With office report)

R.P.(C) NO. 521-522 of 2004 in CA No. 8710/2004

(With office report)

R.P.(C) NO. 523-526 of 2004 in CA Nos. 8726/2001

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

(With office report)

R.P.(C) NO. 1453 of 2004 in CA No. 8034/2003

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition and office report)

R.P.(C) NO. 1752 of 2003 in CA No. 8038/2003

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

R.P.(C) NO. 1775 of 2003 in CA No. 621/2003

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

R.P.(C) NO. 1782-1786 of 2003 in CA Nos. 8051-8054 & 8057/2003

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition and
office report)

R.P.(C) NO. 1788-1793 of 2003 in CA Nos. 8040-44&46/2003

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

R.P.(C) NO. 1827 of 2003 in CA No. 2777/2002 (CA Nos.2777-2779/2002)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

R.P.(C) NO. 1836 of 2003 in CA No. 8656/2001

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

R.P.(C) NO. 1838-1842 of 2003 in CA Nos. 8727, 8730, 8732, 8733 & 8734/2001

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

R.P.(C) NO. 1843 of 2003 in CA No. 8691/2001

R.P.(C) NO. 1853-1856 of 2003 in CA No. 8644, 8646-8648/2001

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

R.P.(C) NO. 1867 of 2003 in CA No. 8682/2001

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

R.P.(C) NO. 1885 of 2003 in CA No. 8653/2001

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

R.P.(C) NO. 1891-1909 of 2003 in CA Nos.8657-8680/2001

(with appln.(s) for c/delay in filing review petition and deletion of proforma Respondents 4,5
and 3,6-

11)

R.P.(C) NO. 1910-1911 of 2003 in CA No. 4298-4299/2002

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

R.P.(C) NO. 1914 of 2003 in CA No. 8032/2003

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

R.P.(C) NOS. 1974-1992 of 2003 in

CA Nos. 8615, 8617-8628, 8630, 8632, 8635-8637 & 8640/2001

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition and
deletion of proforma Respondent No. 3)

R.P.(C) NOS. 1993-2017 of 2003 in

CA Nos. 8693-8700, 8702, 8703, 8705 to 8709, 8711-8713, 8715-8717, 8719,
8720, 8723 & 8724/2001

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition and
deletion of proforma Respondent No. 3)

Date: 30/09/2005 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. VARIAVA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Petitioner (s) Mr. A.K. Ganguli, Sr. Adv.

in all matters Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Adv.

except RP 1775/03 Ms. Shilpa Chohan, Adv.

& 1827/2003 Ms. Lalitha Kaushik, Adv.

in RP 1775/2003 Mr. Mayur R. Shah, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Shankar Divate, Adv.

in RP 1827/2003 Mr. Anil Karnwal, Adv.

Dr. Sushil Balwada, Adv.

For Respondent-State

Mr. Ajay Siwach, Adv.

of Haryana

Mr. Pradeep Dahiya, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Adv. for

Mr. T.V.George, Adv.

For HUDA

Mr. D.P.Singh, Adv.

in RP 443/2004

Mr. Sanjay Jain, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Applications for deletion of the names of proforma Res
pondents are allowed.

Delay condoned.

The Review Petitions stand dismissed in terms of the signed order. No
order as to costs.

(K.K. Chawla)

(Vijay Dhawan)

Court Master

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NOS.1862-1866 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8609-8613 OF 2001

BHIM SINGH & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO.443 OF 2004

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8047 OF 2003

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO.473 OF 2004

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8048 OF 2003

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NOS.518-520 OF 2004

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8667 OF 2001

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NOS.521-522 OF 2004

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8710 OF 2004

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Nos.523-526 OF 2004

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8726 OF 2001

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO.1453 OF 2004

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8034 OF 2003

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO.1752 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8038 OF 2003

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO.1775 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.621 OF 2003

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Nos.1782-1786 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL Nos.8051-8054 & 8057 OF 2003

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NOS.1788-1793 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8040-44&46 OF 2003

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO.1827 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2777 OF 2002 (CA Nos.2777-2779 OF 2002)

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO.1836 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8656 OF 2001

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NOS.1838-1842 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8727, 8730, 8732, 8733 & 8734 OF 2001

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO.1843 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8691 OF 2001

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Nos.1853-1856 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8644, 8646-8648 OF 2001

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO.1867 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8682 OF 2001

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO.1885 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8653 OF 2001

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Nos.1891-1909 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL Nos.8657-8680 OF 2001

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Nos.1910-1911 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL Nos.4298-4299 OF 2002

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO.1914 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8032 OF 2003

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Nos.1974-1992 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL Nos.8615, 8617-8628, 8630, 8632, 8635-8637 & 8640 OF 2001

With

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Nos.1993-2017 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL Nos.8693-8700, 8702, 8703, 8705 TO 8709, 8711-8713, 8715-8717,
8719, 8720, 8723 & 8724 OF 2001

O R D E R

Applications for deletion of the names of proforma Respondents are allowed.

Delay condoned.

Notice had been issued only because it was averred in the Review Petitions that in the earlier Judgments, on which reliance had been placed in the impugned Judgment, deductions had already been made from the price and therefore there is an error apparent on the record inasmuch as a second deduction was allowed to be made in this case.

We have heard parties at great length and have gone through numerous Judgments and orders. Even after going through all of them, it is not clear to us that in the earlier matters there had been any deduction of the nature that has been made in this case. The Review Petitions cannot be converted into a second hearing of the Civil Appeals. As all those Judgments and Orders were available at time of hearing of the Civil Appeals, the detail argument now sought to be made by referring to Judgments after Judgments had to be made at that stage. There is no reason why these Judgments/Orders were not produced or referred to at that stage. As we find no error apparent on the face of the record, we see no reason to review.

The Review Petitions accordingly stand dismissed. No order as to costs.

.....J.

[S.N. VARIAVA]

.....J.

[H.K. SEMA]

New Delhi.

September 30, 2005.