

ITEM NO.61

COURT NO.7

SECTION III-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).3077/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 08-01-2026 in WPMS No.51/2026 passed by the High Court of Uttarakhand at Nainital]

ANHEUSER BUSCH INBEV INDIA LIMITED

Petitioner

VERSUS

MAA SHEETLA DISTRIBUTORS PRIVATE LIMITED & ORS.

Respondents

FOR ADMISSION

I.A. No.19629/2026-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/  
ANNEXURES

Date : 23-01-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Abhay Chattopadhyay, Adv.  
Mr. Udipto Koushik Sarmah, Adv.  
Mr. Rohini Roy, Adv.  
Mr. Kumar Shubham, Adv.  
Mr. Shaurya R. Rai, Adv.  
Mr. Pranay Shridhar Chitale, AOR  
Ms. Beleena Biju, Adv.  
Mr. Samyak Jain, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Abhishek Manu Singhvi, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Anuja Pethia, AOR  
Mr. Ishan Dewan, Adv.  
Mr. V. Siddharth, Adv.  
Ms. Mahima Arora, Adv.  
Ms. Harshita Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Anvita Priyadarshi, Adv.  
Mr. Siddharth Seem, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. In view of availability of an intra-court appeal before the High Court of

Uttarakhand, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned interim order of the High Court; hence, the special leave petition is dismissed.

2. If an appeal is preferred, the same shall be considered on merits. We make this observation because the impugned interim order does, *prima facie*, seem to affect a valuable right of the petitioner.

3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(RASHMI DHYANI PANT)**  
**ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS**

**(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)**  
**COURT MASTER (NSH)**