



Ajendra K.Arora

...Appellant

Vs.

Savitri Bai & Anr.

...Respondents

O R D E R@@  
CCCCCCCC

L....L....I....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J....R  
.SP2

The only question that arises in this appeal from the judgment of the High Court of Madhya Pradesh at Jabalpur dated 23rd September, 1997, is whether respondent no.2, who is the husband of respondent no.1, is the landlord of the premises in question. The trial court as well as the first appellate court concurrently recorded a finding of fact that the second respondent is the owner. On the ground that no substantial question of law is involved the High Court dismissed the second appeal. We are informed that the respondent-landlord has already taken possession of the premises. In these facts and circumstances, we do not find any ground to interfere with the order under challenge. The appeal is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

.SP1

.....J.  
[ SYED SHAH MOHAMMED QUADRI ]

New Delhi,  
March 13, 2002.@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCC

.....J.  
[ S.N. VARIAVA ]