

ITEM NO.117

COURT NO.6

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5785 OF 2004

RAMACHARYA & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

SRI HANAMAPPA (D) BY LRS. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln.(s) for amendment in plaint and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 28/09/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Appellant(s) Mr. D.P. Chaturvedi, Adv. for
Mr. S.N. Bhat, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. G.V. Chandrashekar, Adv.
Mr. N.K. Verma, Adv. for
Mr. P.P. Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5785 OF 2004

RAMACHARYA & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SRI HANAMAPPA (D) BY LRS. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned judgment of the High Court of Karnataka dated 12.11.2003 passed in R.F.A. No. 178/1998.

The facts have been set out in the impugned judgment of the High Court and hence we are not repeating the same here.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having perused the record, in our opinion, the appreciation of material on record and the legal inferences drawn from the same are unexceptionable and do not call for our interference.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly. No costs.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.
(T.S. THAKUR)

NEW DELHI
SEPTEMBER 28, 2010.