

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 310 OF 2007

STATE REP.BY SUPDT.OF POLICE,CBI,ACB

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

T.C.LOGANATHAN

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 25/11/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARJIT SINGH BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Appellant(s) Mr. A.Mariarputham,Sr.Adv.
Mr. P.Nigam,Adv.
Mr. Chetan Chwala,Adv.
Mr. A.K.Sharma,Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran,Adv.
Mr. Yusuf Khan,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. G.Karthikeyan,Adv.
Mr. Bhupender Yadav,Adv.
Mr. S.S.Shamshery,Adv.
Mr. R.C. Kohli,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is allowed.

[SUMAN WADHWA]
COURT MASTER

[VINOD KULVI]
COURT MASTER

Signed order is placed on the file.
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 310 OF 2007

STAE REP. BY SUPDT. OF POLICE,
CBI, ACB

.. APPELLANT(S)

vs.

T.C. LOGANATHAN

.. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

As the High Court has not gone into the appeal on

merits of the controversy and has confined its decision only to the question of sanction, we deem it appropriate that the matter be remanded to the High Court for fresh decision leaving all questions open for discussion.

We accordingly set aside the order of the High Court with the above direction.

We make it clear that despite the fact that we are allowing this appeal and setting aside the order of the High Court, it should not be held to mean that this order has any reflection on the merits of the controversy either on the question of sanction or on the merits of the case.

.....J.
(HARJIT SINGH BEDI)

.....J.
(CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD)

New Delhi,
November 25, 2010.