

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).8072/2013

(From the judgement and order dated 29/10/2012 in SA No.176/2011 of  
the HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

SUSHIL KUMAR TYAGI Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 27/01/2014 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN

For Petitioner(s)  
Mr. Rajeev Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)  
Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv.  
Mr. Abhinav Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

We find no reason to interfere with the impugned judgment  
of the High Court.

However, counsel for the petitioner submitted that for the  
period he had worked (i.e. from 31.07.2006 to 03.09.2007), he had  
not been paid salary. If that be so, the petitioner can make a  
suitable representation before the concerned authority and, on  
verification, if the petitioner has put in his services for the  
aforementioned period, he is entitled to receive the salary for  
the same.

...2/-

: 2 :

Learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that  
since the petitioner is out of service for the last seven years,  
the criminal proceedings initiated against forgery of the  
certificates be not prosecuted.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are  
inclined to direct the respondents to drop the proceedings  
initiated on the ground of forgery against the petitioner.

The special leave petition is disposed of with the  
aforesaid observations.

|(N.S.K. Kamesh)

| |(Saroj Saini)

|  
|Court Master

| |Court Master

|