

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1882 OF 2004

M/S. O.N.G.C. LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

COMMNR. OF CUSTOMS, MUMBAI

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and directions and with office report)

WITH

Civil Appeal No.854 of 2005

(With application for stay and with office report)

Date: 13/07/2006 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI

For Appellant(s)

Mr. S. Ganesh, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Shiv Prakash Pandey,Adv.

Ms. Rekha Pandey,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. A.K. Ganguli, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Dutta, Sr.Adv.

Mr. M.F. Humayunisa,Adv.

Mr. K. Swami,Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran,Adv.

Mr. R.S. Suri,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties

(Mr. S. Gane

sh at some

length).

Pursuant to our Judgment in Commissioner of Customs (Imports), Mumbai vs.

Tullow India Operations Ltd., [2005 (13) SCC 789], the Commissioner of Customs

(Imports) has submitted a report. Objection thereto has been filed on behalf of the

appellants herein in I.A.No.3/2006. Mr. A.K. Ganguli, learned senior counsel appearing

on behalf of the Union of India states that a counter affidavit is required to be filed in

the matter. Let such counter affidavit be filed within one week and reply thereto be filed

within three days thereafter.

Put up on 26th July, 2006 as part-heard. The parties shall file their written

submissions in the meantime.

(A.S. BISHT)
RDWAJ)

COURT MASTER

(PUSHAP LATA BHA

COURT MASTER