

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 2154/2000

(From the judgement and order dated 27/01/2000 in CRLA 323/91
of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

PRAKASH SHANKARRAO KAMBLE Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned
Judgment and bail and Office Report)

Date : 03/11/2000 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.P. MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Sushil Karanjkar, Adv.
Dr. Nafis A. Siddiqui, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. S.S. Shinde, Adv.
Mr. S.V. Deshpande, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Leave granted.
The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed
order.

.SP1

Sarita (V.P. Tyagi) @@
AA
COURT MASTER@@
A AAAAAAAAAAAAA

@@

A

(Signed order is placed on the file)

.PA

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 923 OF 2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
(Arising Out of S.L.P.(Crl.) No. 2154 of 2000)

Prakash Shankarrao Kamble

Appellant

Versus

State of Maharashtra

Respondent

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

Leave granted.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In this case notice was issued by this Court only on the question of sentence. The Court has convicted the appellant under Section 235(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure for the offences punishable under Section 161 of the Indian Penal code and under Section 5(2) read with Section 5(1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1947 and sentenced him to suffer rigorous imprisonment for one year and to pay a fine of Rs.200/- (rupees two hundred only). Both the sentences have been ordered to run separately.

After examining the facts of this case and hearing counsel for the parties, we think in the interest of justice it would be appropriate if the sentence awarded under the aforesaid two sections runs concurrently instead of separately. Accordingly, we modify the sentence of the appellant and hold it shall run concurrently. With the aforesaid modification this appeal stands disposed of.

.....J.
(A.P. Misra)

New Delhi,
November 3, 2000.

.....J.
(Doraiswamy Raju)