

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 17 & 18/2008 in CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4339 OF 1995

UNION OF INDIA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

MADRAS TELE.S.C.&S.T.SOCIAL WELFARE ASSN

Respondent(s)

(For directions and permission to file appln. for directions and with office report)

Cont.P.(C)No.186/2008 in C.A. No.4339/1995(For prel. hearing)

(With office report)

Date: 22/09/2008 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CYRIAC JOSEPH

For Applicant(s)/ Mr. M.N. Rao, Sr.Adv.

Appellant(s)/ Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed ,Adv

Respondent(s) Mr. Syed Ahmad Saud, Adv.

Mr. Faizy Ahmad Syed, Adv.

Mr. R.K. Kapoor, Adv.

Ms.Shweta Kapoor, Adv.

Ms. Mansi Dhiman, Adv.

Mr. Anis Ahmed Khan, Adv.

Mr. T.A. Khan, Adv.

Mr. B.K. Prasad, Adv.

Mr.P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

Mr.Dayan Krishnan, Adv.

Mr. Gautam Narayan, Adv.

Mr.Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.

Mr.Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

Appellant In Person(NP)

Cont. Ptrn.186/08

Mr.A.B. Dial, Sr.Adv.

Mr. M.M. Sudan, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Nanda, Adv.

Ms. Sumati Anand, Adv.

-1-

-2-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

I.As. are dismissed as withdrawn in terms of the signed order.

Cont. Ptn.(C) No.186/08 in C.A.No.4339/1995

The contempt petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Meenu Sethi)
AR-Cum-PS

(Pushap Lata Bhardwaj)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
I.A.Nos. 17 & 18
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4339 OF 1995

Union of India ...Appellant

Versus

Madras Tele.S.C.& S.T. ...Respondent
Social Welfare Assn.

WITH

Contempt Petition (C) No. 186/2008 in
C.A.No.4339/1995

O R D E R

I.A.Nos. 17 & 18

We cannot, in our opinion, entertain an application of this nature being in a matter which is no longer pending before us. If there are subsequent events and if the applicants are affected by reason of any decision taken by the respondent herein, the remedy available to the applicants may be resorted to. The I.As. are dismissed as withdrawn accordingly.

Cont.Ptn.(C) No.186/08 in C.A.No.4339/1995

Having heard the learned senior counsel, we are of the opinion that the contempt petition at the instance of the petitioner is not maintainable as the petitioner was not a party in the main matter. The contempt petition is dismissed accordingly.

...J.

[S.B. SINHA]

.....J
[CYRIAC JOSEPH]

New Delhi,
September 22, 2008.