

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.4740-4743/1999

(From the judgement and order dated 17/10/1996 in CWP 17266/95,
3175-76 and 6448/96 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

DEVINDER KUMAR & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)
(With Appln(s). for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)
With

SLP(C)No.13744/1999, SLP(C)No.13869/1999, SLP(C)No.14084/1999,
SLP(C)No.14339-14341/1998, SLP(C)No.18170-18175/1998, SLP(C)No.5448/1999,
SLP(C)No.6234/2000, SLP(C)No.8098-8099/1999

Date : 03/11/2000 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.B. PATTANAIAK
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.C. BANERJEE

For Petitioner (s)

Mr. Rajiv Dutta, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

Mr. R.K. Chopra, Adv.
Mr. Firoz Alam, Adv.
Mr. P.N. Puri, Adv.

Mr. Jayant Das, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Firoz Alam, Adv.
Mr. P.N. Puri, Adv.

Mr. S.C. Patel, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J
.SP2

In view of the assertion in the counter affidavits
that the impugned judgment has already been implemented and
that there has been an inordinate delay of 469 days in filing
the Special Leave Petition (No.4740-43/99), and no sufficient
cause for the same has been shown, we are not inclined to
...2

(2)

condone the delay particularly when the impugned judgment has
already been implemented.

Even on merits, we do not find a case for our
interference in these matters. All the Special Leave

Petitions stand dismissed accordingly.

.SP1

(J.S. Rawat)
Court Master

(Suneet Bala Sharma)
Court Master