

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 631/2005

RABINDRA DAS

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

CHYAN PURKAYASTHA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for stay)

Date: 28/04/2016 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Appellant(s)

Mr. K.K. Rai, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Anjali Chopra, Adv.  
Mr. Anshul Rai, Adv.  
Mr. S.K. Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Bankey Bihari, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Adv.  
Mr. Brij Bhusan, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Criminal Appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(VISHAL ANAND)  
COURT MASTER(SNEH LATA SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

VISHAL ANAND

Date: 2016.04.29

14:51:25 IST

Reason:

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Criminal Appeal No(s). 631/2005

RABINDRA DAS

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

CHYAN PURKAYASTHA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard Mr. K.K. Rai, learned Senior counsel appearing for the appellant and learned counsel appearing for the respondents at length.

We do not intend to interfere, since in our opinion, the High

Court has not committed any mistake in disposing of the application filed under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

However, in view of considerable lapse of time, by way of a befitting gesture, the learned counsel appearing for the respondents has stated before us that his clients are not pursuing their stand at this distant point of time.

In view of that, we find no merit in the appeal filed by the appellant.

Accordingly, the Criminal Appeal is dismissed.

.....J  
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

.....J  
(AMITAVA ROY)

NEW DELHI;  
28TH APRIL, 2016.