

FITEM NO.24

COURT NO.3

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 88 OF 2007

R.SUMATHI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VIJAY RAGHAVAN

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report )

Date: 12/03/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CYRIAC JOSEPH

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. J.M. Khanna,Adv.  
Ms. Shefali Sethi,Adv.  
Mr. T.N. Blast,Adv.  
Mr. Harkesh Chand Aggarwal,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Transfer Petition is allowed in terms of the signed  
order.

(Ganga Thakur)  
PS to Registrar

(Juginder Kaur)  
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO. 88 OF 2007

R.SUMATHI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VIJAY RAGHAVAN

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Despite service of notice, no one appears on  
behalf of the the respondent-husband, when the Transfer  
Petition is taken up for consideration.

Having heard learned counsel in support of the  
petition and after perusing the same and in particular

sub-paragraph (ii) of Paragraph 3.2 and ground No.2 thereof, we are satisfied that the Transfer Petition should be allowed. The same is, accordingly, allowed. Let HMOP-40 of 2006, K. Vijay Raghavan Vs. Sumathi, pending before the Subordinate Judge, Ranipete, Tamil Nadu, stand transferred to the Court of District Judge, Shimoga, Karnataka, to be disposed of by a court of competent jurisdiction.

.....J.  
( ALTAMAS KABIR )

( CYRIAC JOSEPH ) .....j.

New Delhi,  
March 12, 2010.