

ITEM NO.61

COURT NO.3

SECTION X

## S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

## RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NO. 19 OF 2007 IN W.P(C) 579/2003

JOSE KAIPRAMPATT S.J.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MADAN MOHAN JHA &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With office report )

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NO.40/2007 IN W.P.(C) 55/2004

(With office report)

Date: 30/04/2007 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LOKESHWAR SINGH PANTA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Amit Pawan,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Gopal Singh,Adv.

Mr. Anukul Raj, Adv.

Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Mr. Ajit Kumar Sinha, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

No contempt is made out in terms of the signed order. It is, accordingly, closed. If the petitioner is aggrieved, they are at liberty to approach the High Court.

( Ravi P. Verma )

Court Master

( Anand Singh )

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

2

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 19 OF 2007

IN

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 579 OF 2003

JOSE KAIPRAMPATT S.J.

PETITIONER(S)

Versus

MADAN MOHAN JHA & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 40/2007

IN WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 55/2004

O R D E R

These contempt petitions arises out of Writ Petition Nos.

579/2003 and 55/2004 filed under Article 32 of the Constitution.

The aforesaid writ petitions were disposed of by this Court on 13th

April 2006 with a direction to the respondent-Government to dispose

of all the pending applications applied for recognition of the

Institutions within six months and any rejection of the applications

should be by a speaking order.

Having not complied with the aforesaid direction, the

contempt petitions have been filed.

3

It is being brought to our notice that by an order dated

12/02/2007 of the Government of Bihar and by orders dated

08/01/2007, 09/01/2007 and 09/04/2007 of the Government of

Jharkhand, all the applications for recommendation of the institutes

were considered and the same have been disposed of by a speaking

order. Copies of the orders passed have been supported by affidavits.

In view thereof, no contempt is made out. It is, accordingly,

closed. If the petitioner is aggrieved, they are at liberty to approach  
the High Court.

.....J.

( H.K. SEMA )

New Delhi;

.....J.

April 30, 2007.

( LOKESHWAR SINGH PANTA )