

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. 7/2015 in Civil Appeal No(s). 7501/2011

PARAS RAM & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.
(for restoration and office report)

Respondent(s)

WITH

I.A.No.5 in C.A. No. 7510/2011
(With appln.(s) for restoration and Office Report)

Date : 10/05/2016 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Manoj Swarup, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Swarup, Adv.for
M/s Manoj Swarup & Co.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. B.K. Satija, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, Adv.for
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E RI.A.No.No.7 in CA.No.7501/2011 and I.A.No.5 in
CA.No.7510/2011 are allowed.

The civil appeals are restored accordingly.

List the appeals for hearing as per roaster.

(USHA BHARDWAJ)
AR-CUM-PS(RENUKA SADANA)
COURT MASTER

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by USHA
RANI BHARDWAJ
Date: 2016.05.11
17:12:06 IST

Signed order is placed on the file.

Reason:

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTIONI.A. NO.7 OF 2015
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO.7501 OF 2011
AND
I.A. NO.5 OF 2015
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO.7510 OF 2011

Paras Ram & Ors.

.. Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

.. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Issue notice to the respondents.

We have heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

We have gone through the explanation tendered by the applicants in applications for restoration and the accompanying affidavit. We are satisfied that the non-appearance of the learned counsel for the appellants on 9th December, 2015 was neither deliberate nor intentional.

For the reasons recorded hereinabove, we consider it just and appropriate to recall our order dated 9th December, 2015, and restore the main appeals to their original number.

The appeals be listed for hearing as per roaster.

.....J.
[JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR]

.....J.
[C. NAGAPPAN]

NEW DELHI,
MAY 10, 2016.