

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2007

(CC 1269)

(From the judgement and order dated 04/08/2006 in WP No. 2697/2003 of The  
HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

PIMPRI CHINCHWAD MUNICIPAL CORP. & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

TATA MOTORS LTD. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

With I.A.1 (C/delay in filing SLP)

Date: 14/05/2007 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

For Petitioner(s)

Mr.G.E.Vahanvati, SG

Mr.Makarand D.Adkar, Adv.

Mr.Vijay Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Vishwajit Singh,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr.R.F.Nariman, Sr.Adv.

Mr.Pallav Sisodia, Adv.

Mr.R.N.Karanjawala, Adv.

Ms.Nandini Gore, Adv.

Ms.Pragya Singh Baghel, Adv.

Mrs Manik Karanjawala, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

In the present matter, it is pointed out that the judgment of the Bombay High

Court in the case of Bajaj Tempo Limited and another vs. The Pimpri Cinch  
wad

Municipal Corporation and another reported in 1998 (1) Bom.C.R.745 has been

affirmed by this Court vide order dt.11.04.2002 in Civil Appeal No.245 of 1998. This

aspect was not brought to the notice of the High Court when it decided the matter.

List this matter after eight weeks.

In the meantime, the Corporation may move the High Court in review.

( Satish K. Yadav )  
axena )

Court Master

( Madhu S

Court Master