

^+

ITEM NO.1

REGISTRAR COURT.1

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2007
CC 1269/2007

PIMPRI CHINCHWAD MUNICIPAL CORP. & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

TATA MOTORS LTD. & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP)

Date: 05/09/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Vishwajit Singh,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr Sarthak M, Adv.
Mrs Manik Karanjawala,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The ld. Advocate, Mr Sarthak M appearing on behalf of Mrs Manik Karanjawala, Advocate-on-record is present. Nobody is present for any other litigant.

Nobody is present for the petitioner since the last two dates. Matter is pending since the year 2007 and as per Hon'ble Courts' orders dated 14.5.2007, 13.7.2007, 24.8.2007, 5.10.2007 and 16.11.2007; the Hon'ble Court has repeatedly adjourned this matter because of the submission before the Hon'ble Court that review petition is pending before the Bombay High Court.

-2-

Item No.1

Ultimately by order dated 8.2.2008 Court has to say that 'stand over sine die subject to liberty given to either parties to mention after the matter stands disposed of by Bombay High Court'. Thereafter more than four years has lapsed. None of the litigant has ever bothered to confirm that what happened to the litigation, if any pending before the Bombay High Court as conveyed to the Hon'ble Court on repeated occasion as stated hereinabove.

Since the matter becomes old and because there is a direction that no matter shall remain unattended for more than three months, this matter has been repeatedly listed before the Registrar's Court since 28.3.2008 and my predecessors were pleased to adjourn it on the same count that some matter is pending before the Bombay High Court, more particularly in view of Courts' orders dated 14.5.2007 and 8.2.2008.

Ultimately by order dated 25.7.2012, this Court has observed and directed the parties to disclose the status of the case, if any pending, before the Bombay High Court as per Court's order dated 8.2.2008.

Now, today the ld. Advocate for the respondent alone is present. He

is informed by his client that review petition is pending before the Bombay High Court. However, none of the litigants has bothered to file proper proof on record of this case that what is the number of the review petition and whether it is pending before the High Court till today, then at which stage. Once this SLP is pending for more than five years, it is necessary for the litigant to verify from the

-3-

Item No.1

concerned High Court about the correct and latest position of the review petition, if any pending, before the High Court. It is now known to all that information of all pending cases are uploaded on Internet. Status of review petition, if any, pending before the High Court can be ascertained from the Internet.

This matter is arising out of the judgment of an order dated 4.8.2006 in WP No.2697/2003 by the High Court of Bombay. It seems that after the order dated 14.5.2007 by the Hon'ble Court, petitioner herein has filed a review petition before the High Court of Bombay on 4.7.2007 which was numbered as RPWST/14744/07. The case status of such review petition on website of the High Court of Bombay discloses that review petition is still not numbered and pending for admission with same number RPWST/14744/2007 and it was last listed on 26.9.2008.

However, in Court proceeding there must be proper proof on record. Therefore, litigant has to confirm status of pending case before the High Court from the concerned Advocate who is appearing before the High Court and they are supposed to produce such fact on record of this SLP.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, parties are given last chance to produce proper proof of pendency of any matter before the High Court with present status and reason for pendency by proper documents or by proper affidavit latest before 29.9.2012. If proper disclosure is not filed on record before 29.9.2012, matter shall be listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for non-prosecution.

-4-

Item No.1

Record shows that this matter is filed on 25.1.2007 and registered as SLP...CC No.1269/2007. Registry has to clarify that why regular number of SLP is yet not assigned to this matter.

Registry has to file a report about the developments in this case before this Court latest by 8.10.2012 with clarification that why it is still pending with CC number.

| | (S.G.SHAH) |
| | REGISTRAR |

hj