

ITEM NO.31

COURT NO.2

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Civil Appeal No(s). 2966/2011

UNION OF INDIA TR.DEF.SEC.& ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

S.P.S.VAINS & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for condonation of delay in filing appeal and stay and office report)

WITH CONMT.PET.(C) No. 64/2009 In C.A. No. 5566/2008  
(With Office Report)

Date: 16/02/2015 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

For Appellant(s)  
(CA 2966/11)

Ms. Pinki Anand,ASG  
Mr. R. Balasubramanian,Adv.  
Mr. Santosh Kumar,Adv.  
Mr. Pranav Kumar,Adv.  
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das,Adv.  
Mrs. Anil Katiyar,Adv.

(Cont.P.64/2009)

Mr. Nidhesh Gupta,Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Tarun Gupta,Adv.  
Mr. Avjit,Adv.  
Ms. S. Janani,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Nidhesh Gupta,Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Tarun Gupta,Adv.  
Mr. Avjit,Adv.  
Ms. S. Janani,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by  
Mahabir Singh  
Date: 2015.02.16

Ms. Pinky Anand, learned Additional Solicitor General, prays

17:58:46 IST  
Reason:

for and is granted three months' time finally to work out the  
modalities for implementation of the one rank-one pens  
ion

2

principle on which the Tribunal has passed the impugned judgment.

The principle is also, it appears, covered by the decision of this

Court in Union of India & Anr. v. SPS Vains (Retd.) & Ors. -

(2008) 9 SCC 125.

Post after three months finally.

We make it clear that no further time will be granted for the purpose of implementation of the impugned judgment.

(MAHABIR SINGH)  
COURT MASTER

(VEENA KHERA)  
COURT MASTER