

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2013

(CC 6428/2013)

(From the judgement and order dated 16/11/2011 in RFA No.1859/2002 of The
HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

BALJIT SINGH GILL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

With I.A.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP)

Date: 01/04/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

For Petitioner(s) Mr.R.P.Singh Ahluwalia, Adv.
Mr.Amarjit Singh Gill, Adv.
Mr. Subhasish Bhowmick, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

This petition is directed against judgment dated 16.11.2011 of the learned Single Judge of the Punjab and Haryana High Court whereby the appeal preferred by the petitioners under Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 was partly allowed and the amount of compensation determined by the Reference Court was enhanced from Rs.1,85,000/- to Rs.2,17,500/- per acre.

After making submissions for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner made a request that his client may be permitted to withdraw the special leave petition with liberty to seek review of the judgment under challenge by pointing out that the finding recorded by the High Court at Page No.9 about the location of the acquired land is not correct.

In the peculiar facts of the case, the request of the learned counsel is accepted and the special leave petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty in terms of the prayer made.

If the petitioner files a review petition within a period of 30 days then the High Court shall consider the same on merits.

It is needless to say that the liberty given by this Court to the petitioner to file review petition shall not be construed as a mandate to the High Court to necessarily entertain and allow the same.

(Satish K.Yadav)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master