

t
ITEM NO.32

COURT NO.9

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).15442/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 08/05/2006 in C.W.P. No.1080/2003 of
The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

RAJ KUMAR DUA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SUSHIL DHIR (D) THR. LRS. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file additional documents and prayer for
interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 3203 of 2007

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP)

Date: 28/03/2014 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. S.K. Katriar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Nirmal Kumar Ambastha, Adv.
Ms. Vibha Arora, Adv.

Mr. Vishal Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Aman Pal, Adv.
Mr. Rupender Sheroen, Adv.
Ms. Priya Janghu, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Pal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Chinmoy Khaladkar, Adv.
Ms. Neelam Kalsi, Adv.
Mr. Vimal Chandra S. Dave, Adv.

Mr. Vishal Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Aman Pal, Adv.
Mr. Rupender Sheroen, Adv.
Ms. Priya Janghu, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Pal, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Katriar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Nirmal Kumar Ambastha, Adv.
Ms. Vibha Arora, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It is relevant here to state that while disposing of Civil Writ
Petition No. 1080 of 2003 by Order dated 8.05.2006 the High Court had
directed H.U.D.A. to provide respondent No. 4 of the writ petition,
namely, Raj Kumar Dua, petitioner in S.L.P.(C) No. 15442 of 2006, a
similarly situated alternative plot in the same sector.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of H.U.D.A. states that such
an alternative plot in terms of the Order of the High Court shall be
given to Raj Kumar Dua, the petitioner herein, within two months.

Let it be done.

The special leave petitions are dismissed with the observation aforesaid.

| (S.K. Rakheja)
| Court Master

| |(Indu Satija)
| |Assistant Registrar

| |