

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.172 OF 2009

BADAMIBEN FATEHCHAND PUNAMIA

..APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF GUJARAT

..RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. This appeal is directed against the judgment and order passed by the High Court of Gujarat in Criminal Appeal No.72 of 1996, dated 21.11.2007.

2. We have heard Shri D.N. Ray, learned counsel for the appellant and Ms. Jesal, learned counsel for the respondent-State of Gujarat.

3. At the time of hearing of this appeal learned counsel for the appellant would bring to our notice that twenty years have elapsed from the date of the incident and the appellant is now aged

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

NEETU KHAJURIA

Date: 2015.08.28

17:12:42 IST

Reason: about 85 years.

2

4. Keeping in view the age of the appellant, we are of the considered view that the ends of justice would met if, we modify the sentence imposed on the appellant by reducing it to the period already undergone by her.

5. Accordingly, while maintaining the conviction of the appellant, we modify the sentence imposed on the appellant by reducing it

