

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.1642-1643 OF 2001

K.M. BALASUNDARAM

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

NARAYANASAMY (D) BY LR. & ORS.ETC.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for substitution, exemption from filing O.T.,
bring on record subsequent event and office report)

Date: 22/07/2009 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU

For Appellant(s) Ms. Swarupa Reddy,Adv.
Mr. D. Mahesh Babu,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. S. Gurukrishna Kumar,Adv.
Mr. S.R. Setia,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Application for substitution is allowed.

We do not find any ground to interfere with the
impugned order.

The civil appeals are, accordingly, dismissed.

No costs.

[T.I. Rajput]
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[Savita Sainani]
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.1642-1643 OF 2001

K.M. Balasundaram

...Appellant(s)

Versus

Narayanasamy (D) by L.Rs. and Ors. Etc. ...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.
Application for substitution is allowed.
We do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned order.
The civil appeals are, accordingly, dismissed.
No costs.

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J.

[G.S. SINGHVI]

.....J.

[H.L. DATTU]

.....J.

New Delhi,
July 22, 2009.