

S U P R E M E                      C O U R T   O F   I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

CRL.M.P. NO. 3841 OF 2011 IN  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 876 OF 2011

SHRIRAM SAWALRAM PATEL

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
(With appln(s) for bail)

Respondent(s)

Date: 27/04/2012                      This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA  
(IN CHAMBERS)

For Appellant(s)	Mr.            V. Giri, Sr. Adv.
	Mr.            Rishi Malhotra, Adv.
	Mr.            Prem Malhotra, Adv.
	Ms.            Susha Unni, Adv.

For Respondent(s)                      Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

## O R D E R

This is an application seeking grant of bail to the appellant in a matter where the trial is still pending before the Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad under Sections 22/23/25/27A/29 and 38 of the NDPS Act. However, all such applications are regularly listed before the Regular Bench and I do not see any distinguishing feature in this matter as to why it should have been listed before the Chamber Judge. However,

attention of this Court was invited to the provisions of Order VI Rule 23 of the Supreme Court Rules, 1966 indicating that the bail application may be dealt with by the Chamber Judge also. But it is difficult for this Court to consider as to which are the cases wherein the bail application should be considered by the Chamber Judge and which are those which should be listed before the Regular Bench.

It is open to the learned counsel for the petitioner to address the Regular Bench on this issue and if possible seek guidelines in this regard.

Accordingly, the Criminal Miscellaneous Petition be listed before the Regular Bench.

(KUSUM SYAL)  
SR.P.A

(MITHLESH GUPTA)  
COURT MASTER