

^

W.P(C)No. 132 OF 1995

ITEM No.2

Court No. 1

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Civil) No.132/1995

USHA MEHTA & ORS

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARSHTRA & ORS

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for intervention and stay and exemption)

(With Office Report)

With

C.A.No.1695/1997,C.A.No.8214/1995(With appln. For stay)

Date : 04/12/2003 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE AR. LAKSHMANAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Sunil Gupta, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Jatin Zaveri, Adv.
Mr. Harish J. Jhaveri,Adv.

Mr. Uday Umesh Lalit, Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr. Vijay Panjwani,Adv.

Mr. R.B. Masodkar, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Shinde, Adv.

Mr.Ravindra Kumar Adsure, Adv

Mr. Mukesh K. Giri, Adv.

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.

Mr. P.H. Parekh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Mr. Sunil Gupta, learned senior advocate started his arguments at 10.45 a.m. and concluded at 3.25 p.m. Thereafter, Mr. Uday Umesh Lalit, learned counsel started arguments and was on his legs when the Court took up mentioning matters.

The matters remained part-heard.

(Charanjeet Kaur)
Court Master

(Om Prakash)
Court Master

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION(C) NO. 1758/2003

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION NOS.14304/2003

FAG Precision Ltd.

...
PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of U.P. & Ors.

...
RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

We have carefully gone through the Review Petition and the connected papers. We do not find any merit in the Review Petition. The Review Petition is dismissed accordingly.

.....J.
(S. RAJENDRA BABU)

.....J.
(G.P. MATHUR)
New Delhi,
December 3, 2003.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION(C) No.1569/2003

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION NO.10068/2002

Chairman, M.P.S.C. & Anr.

...

PETITIONERS

VERSUS

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...

RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

We have carefully gone through the Review Petition and the connected papers. We do not find any merit in the Review Petition. The Review Petition is dismissed accordingly.

.....J.

(S. RAJENDRA BABU)

.....J.

(G.P. MATHUR)

New Delhi,

December 3, 2003.

